	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/679,676	GIESEKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	lan J. Lobo	3662
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Ian J. Lobo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Stanley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2004	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 3 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: clarified antecedent and grammatical discrepencies		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Lan Northo.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)